Article

Treatment of Alcohol-Induced Psychotic Disorder (Alcoholic Hallucinosis)—A Systematic Review

Barkat Masood^{1,*}, Peter Lepping^{1,2,3}, Dmitry Romanov^{4,5}, and Rob Poole^{1,2}

¹Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board (BCUHB), Heddfan Unit, Wrexham Maelor Hospital, Wrexham, Wales LL13 7TD, UK, ²Centre for Mental Health and Society (University of Bangor), Wrexham Medical Institute, Technology Park Centre, Croesnewydd Road, Wrexham, Wales LL13 7YP, UK, ³Mysore Medical College & Research Institute, Irwin Road, Next to Railway Station, Mysuru, Karnataka 570001, India, ⁴Department of Psychiatry & Psychosomatics, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Malaya Trubetskaya Ulitsa, 8c2, Moskva 119992, Russia, and ⁵Mental Health Research Center, 34, Kashirskoye shosse, Moskva 115522, Russia

*Correspondence author: Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board (BCUHB), Wrexham, Wales L13 7TX, UK. Tel.: +44-1978-726873; E-mail: barkat.masood@wales.nhs.uk.

Received 18 May 2017; Revised 13 September 2017; Editorial Decision 17 October 2017; Accepted 23 October 2017

Abstract

Aims: To evaluate the effectiveness of evidence based treatments for alcohol-induced psychotic disorder (AIPD) as described by ICD-10 and DSM-5, a condition that is distinct from schizophrenia and has a close relationship with alcohol withdrawal states.

Method: Systematic review using PRISMA guidelines.

Results: Of 6205 abstracts found, fifteen studies and ten case reports met criteria and were examined. Larger studies examined the use of first-generation antipsychotic drugs, reporting full or partial remission in most patients. Newer case reports report similar results using second generation antipsychotic drugs. Novel treatments, such as those acting on GABA receptors reported low numbers of patients in remission. Some large studies report the successful use of standard alcohol withdrawal treatments.

Conclusion: The findings of our systematic review are inconclusive. There was significant heterogeneity between and within studies. Significant publication bias is likely. Randomized control trials of more carefully delineated samples would produce evidence of greater clinical utility, for example, on differential effectiveness of antipsychotics and optimal length of standard alcohol withdrawal treatments. AIPD patients who show poor treatment responses should be studied in greater depth.

Short Summary: This systematic review of alcohol-induced psychotic disorder treatment found 15 studies and 10 case reports of relevance. Older studies of first-generation antipsychotics reported full or partial remission in most patients, as did newer studies with second-generation antipsychotics. Novel drugs reported low remission rates. Standard alcohol withdrawal treatments were successful.

BACKGROUND

Excess alcohol consumption results in medical and social problems around the world. It accounts for 3% of global deaths (Rehm *et al.*, 2009). Neuropsychiatric consequences to alcohol dependence

syndrome include delirium tremens, alcohol-related brain damage, Korsakoff's syndrome and alcoholic hallucinosis. The terms 'alcoholic hallucinosis' and 'alcohol-induced psychotic' disorder (AIPD) are often used interchangeably, although they may be better regarded as over-lapping categories. In this review we follow ICD-10 (WHO, 1992) in using the rubric AIPD to include both syndromes (ICD-10 code F10.5, corresponding to DSM-5 code 292.1).

According to ICD-10 (WHO 2016 version), AIPD is a condition where mental and behavioural symptoms manifest within 2 weeks of alcohol use and must persist for more than 48 h. Symptoms should not arise as part of alcohol intoxication or an alcohol withdrawal state. Clouding of consciousness should not be present to more than to a minor degree. An episode can persist for up to six months. A wide variety of symptoms can occur, including schizophreniform delusions, hallucinations, depression and mania. DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) specifies that the substance should be capable of causing symptoms and that the condition should not be better explained by another psychotic disorder.

There are a number of assertions made within the AIPD literature. In a Finnish study, AIPD was found to have a general population lifetime prevalence of 0.41%, or 4% for people with alcohol dependence syndrome. It was most common amongst men of working age (Perälä et al., 2010). AIPD is said to manifest immediately after the consumption of large amounts of alcohol. It may not be related to duration of alcohol dependence syndrome (George and Chin, 1998; Perälä et al., 2010). Symptoms may develop during alcohol intoxication or withdrawal or soon thereafter. The diagnosis cannot be made until clear consciousness is restored. AIPD is said to usually resolve within 18-35 days with antipsychotic and/or benzodiazepine treatment (Vicente et al., 1990). A minority of patients may have persistent symptoms for 6 months or more (Benedetti, 1952; Burton-Bradley, 1958). AIPD may end through alcohol abstinence alone and return soon after reinstatement of drinking (Glass, 1989b). The assertion that antipsychotic drugs are the treatment of choice (Soyka et al., 1988; Jordaan and Emsley, 2014) is not supported by published randomized controlled trials (RCTs). A number of factors affecting people with AIPD make it difficult to recruit and retain participants in RCTs (Perälä et al., 2010).

There are few rigorous published studies of treatments for AIPD. This systematic review was conducted in order to evaluate the available evidence on treatment.

METHODS

PRISMA Guidelines were used to carry out a systematic review. Medline, EMBASE, PsychINFO, Cochrane and CINAHL databases were searched for studies that had been published between 1 January 1900 and 18 August 2016. Subject search terms were: hallucinations/or alcoholism/or psychoses/or alcoholic psychoses/or chemically induced, drug therapy, prevention and control, rehabilitation, therapy/or alcohol intoxication/or delusions/or delirium/or dissociative disorders. (Search strategy available on request.)

Initial inclusion criteria for screening purposes were articles that:

- had been published in any language,
- investigated alcohol with or without polysubstance misuse,
- investigated hallucinations,
- investigated hallucinations attributed to alcohol and polysubstance misuse,
- investigated hallucinations that persisted beyond one week of alcohol/drug withdrawal state,
- investigated any treatment of symptoms,
- investigated any outcome measures,
- investigated patients of any age,
- were RCTs and

• were case reports or series.

There were eight exclusion criteria during the screening phase:

- papers that did not have abstracts (Criterion 1),
- non-human studies (Criterion 2),
- examined acute confusional states/delirium, symptoms that occurred during alcohol/drug withdrawal states of <1 week duration, delirium tremens, drug intoxication states and organic psychoses (Criterion 3),
- did not examine hallucinations or psychoses (Criterion 4),
- examined schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, mood disorder or delusional disorder (Criterion 5),
- did not examine alcohol-related hallucinosis or examined hallucinations due to polysubstance misuse (Criterion 6),
- single case studies (Criterion 7) and
- did not examine treatment or include outcome measures (Criterion 8).

The minimum standard for outcome measures was classification into no remission, partial remission or full remission.

RESULTS

Overall, 7347 articles were identified and 6205 remained after duplicates were removed. Abstracts for the 6205 articles were screened and the 8 exclusion criteria applied. Twenty-six full text articles were requested to assess eligibility. (See PRISMA flow chart Fig. 1.)

In December 2016, a search on the ClinicalTrials.gov (www. clinicaltrials.gov), the ISRCTN Registry (www.ISRCTN.com), the EU Clinical Trials (www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu) and the UK Clinical Trials Gateway (www.ukctg.nihr.ac.uk) websites did not find any completed or ongoing RCTs of treatment of AIPD.

Fifteen studies (for details, see Table 1) and ten case reports (Table 2) on the treatment of AIPD were included in the final analysis. A meta-analysis with funnel plot was not conducted because studies were few and too heterogeneous.

Trial studies

Four studies retrieved were double blind RCTs, of which one was a crossover study. One study included comparison with a group of participants with a diagnosis of paranoid schizophrenia. Ten studies were open label, non-comparative case series of treatment and outcome of AIPD.

Five of the included studies examined cases of AIPD arising in the context of alcohol withdrawal (psychotic symptoms started during the withdrawal state but persisted after other withdrawal symptoms had resolved). The trials are summarized in Table 1.

Types of treatment

 Antipsychotics: No trials examined the use of second-generation antipsychotics. Six trials examined the use of single or multiple first-generation antipsychotics, including haloperidol, chlorpromazine, trifluoperazine, reserpine, thiotixene and levopromazine.

Three trials examined the use of antipsychotics, benzodiazepines, vitamin B1 with treatment outcomes, but did not specify which antipsychotic drug was used.



Fig. 1. PRISMA flow diagram of treatment of AIPD.

- (2) Anticonvulsants: Anticonvulsants were used in three trials (lamotrigine, sodium valproate and phenobarbitone). Hypnotics such as barbamyl and chloral hydrate were used in one trial.
- (3) Others: Two trials examined other compounds that act on GABA receptors (piracetam and clorazepate).

One trial examined the primary use of an unusual treatment (glycine). One trial examined the use of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT).

Treatment results

There was marked heterogeneity of results, even with the same drug. For example, full remission rates for chlorpromazine varied from 0 to 68%, and partial remission rates from 0 to 32%. Better results with haloperidol included full remission rates of 68–90%, and partial remission rates of 0–30%. However, there were few trials of antipsychotic monotherapy, so findings are hard to fully interpret.

Heterogeneous outcomes were also evident in trials of nonantipsychotic treatments, apart from one trial of three patients treated with ECT, all of whom experienced full remission.

Treatment duration ranged from 3 to 546 days, but most trials were brief. Longer duration was not associated with more favourable outcomes. In three trials, duration was not stated.

Participants were predominantly male, as noted by Szefal and Zaleski (1983), who studied women with AIPD. Cohort sizes ranged from 3 to 100. Ten trials involved between 24 and 40 participants.

Case reports

The ten case report papers reported 13 separate patients who were exposed to 21 different treatments or treatment combinations. All patients were treated with first- or second-generation antipsychotics. Three patients were treated with adjunctive benzodiazepines and vitamin B1.

Author	Study type	Treatment and outcome measurement	Duration (days)	N, age range	Outcome: no remission, partial remission and full remission
Gruenberg (1940)	Case series ^a	Electroconvulsive therapy wavelength 7.5 m; 15 min per treatment. Self structured scale of improvement or no improvement.	5, 6 and 14 treatments. Maximum 28 days ^a	Males 3; aged 28–40	Full remission: 3 (100%) ^a
Bobrov (1966)	Case series (observational study)	Chlorpromazine, antidepressants, psychotherapy.	Unknown	100 patients	No remission: 100 (100%)
Morales-Beda <i>et al.</i> (1968)	Case series	Neuroleptic free prior to treatment. Thiotixene 30–60 mg/day.	56–252	Males 6	No remission: 6 (100%)
Entin (1970)	Case series ^b	Vitamin B1. Reserpine 6–20 mg/day. Haloperidol 5–10 mg/day. [Chlorpromazine, levopromazine and trifluoperazine. Barbamyl, phenobarbitone, barbital sodium, chloral hydrate also used. Doses unknown].	28–168	73 patients	Full remission: 50 (68%) Partial remission: 23 (32%) ^b
Boriskov (1977)	Case series (Open label. observational study)	Antipsychotics, vitamin B1 (100–200 mg) and Biyohinol/ Bioochinolum preparation (quinine bismuth iodide) up to 40–50 ml.	28	46 patients	No remission: 46 (100%)
Sampath <i>et al.</i> (1980)	Case series (Prospective observational comparison study)	 Initial period -chlorpromazine or Trifluoperazine (dose equivalents chlorpromazine 400–1200 mg). Then Chlorpromazine or trifluoperazine (dose equivalents chlorpromazine 300–1000 mg). Self constructed outcome scale of full remission, partial remission and no remission. 	Initial period-42 days. Then 546 days.	30 patients with alcoholic hallucinosis (ICD-9 criteria) compared with 30 patients with paranoid schizophrenia	Alcoholic hallucinosis: Full remission: 19 (63%), Partial remission: 7 (23%), No remission: 4(13%). Paranoid schizophrenia: Full remission: 4(13%), Partial remission: 11 (37%), No remission: 15 (50%).
Kabeš (1985) ^e	Double blind randomized placebo controlled. Crossover trial ^c	Piracetam 9.0 g/day and IV Diazepam up to 20 mg/ day. Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale.	6	24 male patients (16 withdrawal states and 8 alcohol hallucinosis)	 Total patients: partial response: 21(100%). 21 completed, 3 in first placebo period withdrawn due neuroleptic use. 1 patient of initial piracetam group required IV diazepam. 7 of placebo required IV diazepam. Alcohol hallucinosis: partial remission: 8 (100%)^c
Vencovsky <i>et al.</i> (1985)	Case series ^d	Clorazepate 200–600 mg/day (Intra muscularly or intravenously). Oral clorazepate used after parenteral treatment. Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale.	2–3	35 patients (21 delirium tremens and 14 alcoholic hallucinosis)	Alcoholic hallucinosis: Full remission: 8 (57%). No remission: 6(43%) following parenteral treatment. ^d
Vicente <i>et al.</i> (1990)	Case series	Antipsychotics, benzodiazepines and vitamin B1 (No names or doses). Self constructed scale: full remission, partial remission, no remission.	18–35	25 (23 males and 2 females)	Full remission: 16 (64%), Partial remission: 6 (24%), No remission: 3 (12%).

Table 1. Treatments and Outcomes in AIPD studies

262

Continued

Table 1. Continued

Author	Study type	Treatment and outcome measurement	Duration (days)	N, age range	Outcome: no remission, partial remission and full remission
George and Chin (1998)	Case series ^e	Diazepam 30–40 mg/day. Vitamin B1 (1 ampoule/ day) for 3 days, then 200 mg/ day. Haloperidol 30–40 mg/day. Self constructed scale of full recovery or no recovery.	Mean duration: 7 days	34 patients (32 males and 2 females)	Full remission: 27 (85%), No remission: 5 (15%). ^e 32 eligible patients as 2 had delirium tremens, 1 of these died.
Aliyev and Aliyev (2005)	Double blind randomized placebo controlled trial.	 700 mg sublingual glycine/day. 5-point scale (1 = absent, 2 = slight, 3 = moderate, 4 = significant, 5 = high), Wilcoxon Rank Sum test. 	7	40 male patients. 20 to drug and 20 to placebo	Sublingual glycine: partial remission: 20 (100%) Placebo: no remission: 20 (100%)
Aliyev and Aliyev (2008)	Double blind randomized placebo controlled trial.	Sodium valproate. Starting dose of 100 mg/day, increased to 300 mg. Clinical Global Impression improvement scale.	Initial dose titration 3. Total period 10.	40 male patients	Sodium valproate (19 completers): Partial remission: 14 (74%), No remission: 5 (26%). Placebo (20 completers): Partial remission: 5 (25%), No remission: 15 (75%).
Aliyev <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Double blind, randomized placebo controlled trial.	Lamotrigine 300 mg/day. Positive and negative symptoms scale, subscale for hallucinosis.	10	40 patients 20 lamotrigine, 20 placebo	Lamotrigine: partial remission: 20 (100%) Placebo: no remission: 20 (100%)
Aleksin and Egorov (2011)	Case series (Observational study) ^f	Antipsychotics, benzodiazepines and vitamin B1. Haloperidol 5 mg/day. Extra pyramidal side effects	Unknown.	26 males	Full remission: 24 (92%), Partial remission: 2(8%). ^f
Jordaan <i>et al.</i> (2012)	Case series. (Prospective open label non- comparative study)	treated with benzhexol (2–6 mg/day) and lorazepam (max 4 mg/day) for sedation/anxiety, infrequently used. Positive and Negative Symptoms Scale.	42	20 patients (16 males and 4 females)	Full remission: 18 (90%), partial remission: 2 (20%).

^aAssuming maximum of two treatments per 7 days. Although 2 or more treatments could occur in a day.

^bUnknown regimen. Original sample *n* = 204. Mixture of delirium tremens, organic brain syndromes and AIPD. Relevant AIPD cases examined.

^cAssuming that three participants withdrawn due to severity of withdrawal state and subsequently requiring antipsychotics.

^d29 patients had full remission. It is assumed that all 21 patients with delirium tremens had full remission.

^e28 of 33 patients had complete remission. However, the adjustment of removing one patient is because this patient had delirium tremens and is assumed to have had full remission.

^fOriginal mixed study (clearly defined cases of delirium tremens, AIPD, Korsakov's syndrome and other psychiatric disorder due to alcohol and psychostimulants) of *n* = 125.

Alcohol and Alcoholism, 2018, Vol. 53, No. 3

 Table 2. Treatment and outcomes in AIPD case reports

Authors	Ν	Age (y)	Sex	Treatment	Dosage per day (mg)	Duration (days)	Outcome
Bourdon (1956)	2	50	М	Chlorpromazine	Unknown	Unknown	No remission
				Electroconvulsive treatment		1 session	Full remission
		55	М	Electroconvulsive therapy	Unknown	1 session	Full remission
Hytinnen (1987)	1	35	М	Haloperidol	Unknown	6	No remission
Chaudhury and Augustine (1991) ^a	2	40	М	Antipsychotics, vitamins,	Unknown	56	Full remission ^a
		47	М	psychotherapy, supportive measures	Unknown	168	Full remission ^a
Soyka <i>et al.</i> (1997) ^b		33	М	Haloperidol decanoate	10	2190	No remission ^b
				Perazine	200		
				Risperidone	6	56	Full remission ^b
De Millas and Haasen (2007)	1	58	М	Risperidone	2	5	Full remission
				Alcohol abstinence	4	56	Full remission
Kumar and Bankole (2010) ^c		40	М	Olanzapine	20	10	Full remission ^c
				Citalopram	40		
				Risperidone	6	10	Full remission ^c
Farcas (2012, 2016) ^d	2	23	М	Risperidone, benzodiazepines	4	42	Full remission ^d
		43	М	and vitamin B1	4	42	Full remission ^d
Ogut et al. (2014)	1	58	М	Diazepam	60	Unknown	Full remission
-				Olanzapine	10	19	
				Folic acid and vitamin B complex	Unknown	Unknown	
Bouritius et al. (2015) ^f	1	38	М	Quetiapine	900	56	No remission ^e
				Flupenthixol	18	56	No remission ^e
				Haloperidol	Unknown	Unknown	Partial remission e
Goyal <i>et al.</i> $(2016)^{\rm f}$	1	59	М	Trifluoperazine	10	56	No remission ^f
				Risperidone	6	56	No remission ^f
				Clozapine	200	56	No remission ^f
				Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS)	2 sessions per day, 2 mA intensity	5	Full remission ^f

Please note: Outcomes dictate that an individual treatment episode occurred. Some patients received more than one treatment. Whenever more than one treatment is listed for a patient, the treatments listed were given consecutively.

^aFull remission after 56 and 168 days. Time of response suggested to be immediate.

^bFull remission occurred after a few days.

^cDose of risperidone not specified. Assumed to be maximum doses, as per Joint Formulary Committee. (2015).

^dFour milligrams refers to dose of risperidone. Unknown type, dose and duration of benzodiazepine. Confirmed by email correspondence from B. Masood to A. Farcas.

^eDoses and duration not specified for quetiapine and flupenthixol. Assumed to be adequate therapeutic trial at maximum doses, as per Joint Formulary Committee. (2015).

^fAuditory Hallucinations Rating Scale used.

Treatments and outcomes are set out in Table 2. Outcomes were highly variable. Five patients experienced full remission with risperidone and two with olanzapine. No remission occurred in patients treated with chlorpromazine, perazine, flupenthixol, trifluoperazine or quetiapine. Of three treatment episodes with haloperidol, only one led to (partial) remission. There were two ECT treatment episodes, both of which were associated with full remission. However, it should be noted that the most recent report of ECT for AIPD was published in 1956.

Full remission was reported in one patient treated with transcranial direct current stimulation following failure of three different first- or second-generation antipsychotic drugs

DISCUSSION

Previous reviews of AIPD have focussed on aetiology, symptoms, prognosis and the relationship with schizophrenia (Soyka, 1994; Engelhard *et al.*, 2015; Jordaan and Emsley, 2014). This is the first systematic review to examine treatment of AIPD. We included part of the non-English language literature, papers written in Dutch,

French, German, Polish, Russian and Spanish. All papers were translated by P.L. or D.R. who are fully fluent in the respective languages, with the exception of Polish and Spanish where a translation service and Google translate were used respectively.

Main Findings

The findings of our systematic review are inconclusive. Studies generally had relatively low numbers of participants. There were few RCTs. Treatments were sometimes idiosyncratic, although these were usually supported by a rationale. For example, Aliyev *et al.* (Aliyev and Aliyev, 2005, 2008; Aliyev *et al.*, 2011) justified their treatment with glycine, lamotrigine and sodium valproate by reference to Branchey *et al.* (1985), who suggest that amino acid abnormalities affect cerebral serotonin and dopamine levels and thus cause hallucinations. Their results do not support the use of these treatments. They reported high partial remission rates in RCTs but the trials were brief (10 days) with no indication of longer-term outcomes. High doses of medication were used. These may have caused sedative side effects, confounding findings of partial remission. Overall, larger studies tended to report at least partial remission on antipsychotic medications, whether in combination with other treatments or as monotherapy. Some case reports concerned treatment with second-generation antipsychotics. Insofar as it is possible to tell, these appear to be no better or worse than older drugs.

Problems in studying treatments for AIPD

The sparseness of the literature is surprising, as alcohol dependence syndrome is common and AIPD is a serious complication of the condition. However, there are several problems in studying treatments for AIPD:

Firstly, it may be difficult to recruit and retain participants who are both alcohol dependent and suffering from psychotic symptoms. Prolonged abstinence can be difficult to achieve. Participants are likely to live in difficult social situations due to alcohol excess (Ali and McBride, 1997). These factors make compliance and retention in rigorous trials difficult.

Secondly, poor treatment outcomes in longer studies may lead to participants dropping out of trials. Underpowered trials generate inconclusive results.

Heterogeneous samples

The literature on AIPD and alcoholic hallucinosis has been dominated by attempts to ascertain whether there is a single syndrome, distinct from schizophrenia and delirium tremens, or whether there are several alcohol-related psychotic syndromes, either discrete or overlapping.

We found that all studies and case reports matched ICD-10 and DSM-5 criteria. All studies and case reports excluded clouding of consciousness as a key diagnostic criterion, which is congruous with DSM-5 and ICD-10 criteria. All case reports and studies described auditory hallucinations as a key feature in AIPD but some authors reported hallucinations in other modalities. Auditory hallucinations were second and third person, commentary, derogatory and command types. Delusions of persecution and of jealousy were reported in some participants. Boriskov (1977) described delusions of grandeur in AIPD, while Jordaan et al. (2012) found no evidence of significant delusions of grandeur. Interestingly, a few studies reported schizophreniform thought disorder (George and Chin, 1998; Vicent-Muelas et al., 1990). All authors reported that the onset of auditory hallucinations could occur during excess alcohol consumption or on alcohol withdrawal. No auditory hallucinations commenced during periods of extended alcohol abstinence.

The diagnosis of AIPD appears to have been stable in all studies. No changes of diagnosis to schizophrenia were observed. However, some participants experienced alcohol withdrawal symptoms, there was a wide range of psychopathology in different participants, and the timing of onset of AIPD was not always reported.

Attempts have been made to distinguish between AIPD and schizophrenia complicated (or precipitated) by alcohol misuse. In the 1950s, three large-scale studies followed patients with AIPD for 5–23 years in order to examine prognosis and diagnosis (Benedetti, 1952; Burton-Bradley, 1958; Victor and Hope, 1958). The conclusion was broadly similar: the majority of patients studied did not have schizophrenia. Cutting (1978) concluded from a study of 46 patients that AIPD could be regarded as an organic psychosis, and if there was any resemblance to schizophrenia this was due to an emphasis on Schneider's first rank symptoms in earlier editions of ICD and DSM. He suggested that people with AIPD do not show features of schizophrenia as propounded by Bleuler (1911)—such as

blunting of affect, autism, thought disorder and ambivalence—and by Kraepelin (1913).

A two part review by Glass (1989a,1989b) emphasized that schizophrenia and AIPD have different onsets, different symptoms and different outcomes. This view was shared by Soyka (1994), who had reported that patients with AIPD were more likely to have a family history of alcohol misuse than psychosis, and vice versa for patients with schizophrenia (Soyka, 1990). A number of family studies support a genetic distinction between AIPD and schizophrenia (Schukit and Winokur, 1971; Rimmer and Jacobsen, 1977; Kendler, 1985).

Case reports

These did not add significantly to our understanding of treatment strategies for AIPD. We found only ten case reports (Table 2). Treatment periods were usually around 56 days duration (Chaudhury and Augustine, 1991; Soyka *et al.*, 1997; De Millas and Haasen, 2007; Bouritius *et al.*, 2015; Goyal *et al.*, 2016). Full or partial remissions occurred within a matter of days or not at all.

Remission on treatment with first-generation antipsychotics was common, but some reported success with subsequent use of secondgeneration antipsychotics, strengthening the suspicion of publication bias. Only one case report reported no treatment remission (Hytinnen, 1987). Case studies are well recognized to be potentially misleading, and we do not believe that any reliance should be placed upon them.

Non-pharmacological treatment strategies

In a very small number of cases, ECT and transcranial direct current stimulation appeared to be effective treatments (Gruenberg, 1940; Bourdon, 1956; Goyal *et al.*, 2016). These findings are interesting but require replication in large studies.

There is a widely held clinical dictum that alcoholic hallucinosis does not resolve without complete abstinence from alcohol and that relapse of drinking tends to cause a return of hallucinations soon thereafter. Abstinence on its own was not an effective treatment for AIPD in one case report (De Millas and Haasen, 2007). Nonetheless, this is a safe treatment strategy, and it is surprising that there are no studies reported anywhere in the literature of alcohol withdrawal followed by complete abstinence with no drug treatment. This is a major gap in the evidence base.

Recommendations for future research

There is a prima facie case that the rubric AIPD is too broad to allow clear findings for treatment of different sub-types to be evident. This is evident in both DSM-5 and ICD-10 criteria. There is no evidence base to fall back on underpinning a pragmatic subclassification. Although it is reasonable to conduct rigorous trials of treatment for a broad range of psychotic symptoms, we suggest that it would be helpful if future trials attempted to identify participants with relatively homogenous symptoms. The key variables to take into account are:

- (1) Did psychotic symptoms first arise during alcohol intoxication or, conversely, during acute alcohol withdrawal?
- (2) Are symptoms predominately hallucinations, delusions or both?
- (3) Is symptom duration one month, more than 1 month or more than 6 months?
- (4) Do symptoms persist during abstinence?
- (5) Is schizophreniform thought disorder present?

Greater clarity and homogeneity would distinguish, for example, participants who meet criteria for both schizophreniform psychosis and alcohol dependence syndrome from participants displaying a core 'alcohol hallucinosis' as described by Lishman (1998), with persistent vivid auditory hallucinations that arise with a quality of insight, but few or no other psychotic symptoms.

Clinical implications

Our systematic review suggests that there is adequate evidence that some patients with AIPD show a favourable response to antipsychotic medication. There is nothing to indicate the superiority of any particular drug. Both first- and second-generation drugs appear to be effective. However, it seems highly likely that many patients show little or no response to antipsychotics and that persistence when they fail to produce remission (whether partial or full) cannot be justified. There is no evidence to guide the duration of treatment. First principles would dictate that this should be as brief as possible, given the wide range of side effects associated with these medications.

As complete abstinence from alcohol, when it can be achieved, slows or stops other alcohol-related disease processes, there is good reason to strongly recommend it. There is sufficient weight of clinical opinion to caution patients that even controlled drinking may lead to the return of psychotic symptoms.

There is insufficient evidence for other treatments reviewed here to recommend their routine use in the treatment of AIPD

CONCLUSION AND LIMITATIONS

Given the relative dearth of data we chose a wide timeframe for our search. However, earlier papers may have included patients who may have been excluded if modern diagnostic techniques had been available. Furthermore, standard treatments such as antipsychotics were not available for a proportion of the early studies. Much of the evidence for treatment of AIPD is weak and is only level IV evidence (Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, 1992). The lack of research on AIPD may be due to a belief that it is easy to treat (Soyka *et al.*, 1988; Jordaan and Emsley, 2014). However, this is mistaken as hospital readmission rates are high (Soyka *et al.*, 2013). There is little clarity on how best to treat patients with persistent symptoms.

Heterogeneity is a problem in the studies found. We suggest that distinguishing between key variables of AIPD would help to understand the findings of future treatment trials. The evidence for the effectiveness of first- and second-generation antipsychotic drugs is based upon case reports and underpowered trials. Positive publication bias is likely. A funnel plot was not feasible due to the size and nature of the literature. There is supportive evidence to use this treatment, but better evidence is needed. Similar considerations affect studies using novel treatments such as GABA receptor compounds, but the lack of positive findings means that they cannot be recommended in the treatment of AIPD. Although some larger studies report the successful use of standard alcohol withdrawal treatments, longer-term management remains unexamined. There is an important gap in the literature on the management of patients whose symptoms fail to remit in response to antipsychotic medication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Nia Morris and Pauline Goodland from the John Spalding Library at the Wrexham Maelor Hospital for their valuable contribution.

FUNDING

There was no external funding provided for this project.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None declared.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

All authors have no declarations of interest.

REFERENCES

- Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. AHCPR Publication 92-0032. AHPCPR (1992).
- Aleksin DS, Egorov A. (2011) Current peculiarities of alcoholic psychosis. Zh Neurol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova 111:20–7.
- Ali IM, McBride AJ. (1997) Attendance rate in an alcohol problem clinic. *Psychiatrist* 21:343–5.
- Aliyev N, Aliyev AA, Aliyev ZN, et al. (2011) FC03-04-Lamotrigine treatment of acute alcohol hallucinosis comorbid depersonalizations disorders: a randomimized double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Eur Psychiatry. 26:1825.
- Aliyev NA, Aliyev ZN. (2005) Application of glycine in acute alcohol hallucinosis. Hum Psychopharm: Clin Exp 20:591–4.
- Aliyev ZN, Aliyev NA. (2008) Valproate treatment of acute alcohol hallucinosis: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Alcohol Alcohol 43:456–9.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013) Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5 (ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

Benedetti G. (1952) Die Alkoholhalluzinosen. Stuttgart: Thieme.

Bleuler E. (1911) Dementia Praecox or the Group of Schizophrenias. New York: International University Press: New York, (translated J. Zinkin, 1950).

- Bobrov AS. (1966) On the nature of acute delusional psychoses in the clinical evolution of alcoholism. Zh Nevropatol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova 66:731–8.
- Bokun P. (1975) [Alcoholic psychoses in Split.]. Socijalna Psihijatr 3:215-22.
- Boriskov VP. (1977) Nosologic independence and features of the treatment of alcoholic paraphrenia. Zh Nevropatol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova 77: 739–43.
- Bourdon J. (1956) [Concerning the treatment of alcoholic hallucinosis.]. Acta Neurol Psychiatr Belg 56:507–12.
- Bouritius EM, Neven A, Blom JD. (2015) Alcoholhallucinose. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd 159:A7901.
- Branchey L, Branchey M, Worner TM, *et al.* (1985) Association between amino acid alterations and hallucinations in alcoholic patients. *Biol Psychiatry*. 20:1167–73.
- Burton-Bradley BG. (1958) Aspects of alcoholic hallucinosis. Med J Aust 45: 8–11.
- Cade JF. (1972) Massive thiamine dosage in the treatment of acute alcoholic psychoses. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 6:225–30.
- Chaudhury S, Augustine M. (1991) Alcoholic hallucinosis: case reports. J Personality Clin Stud 7:119–22.
- Cutting J. (1978) A reappraisal of alcoholic psychoses. *Psychol Med.* 8: 285–95.
- De Millas W, Haasen C. (2007) Treatment of alcohol hallucinosis with risperidone. Am J Addict 16:249–50.
- Dvirskii AA. (2000) Clinical symptoms of schizophrenia in combination with delirium tremens. Zh Nevrol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova 101:18–20.
- Engelhard CP, Touquet G, Tansens A, et al. (2015) [Alcohol-induced psychotic disorder: a systematic literature review.]. Tijdschr Psychiatr 57: 192–201.
- Entin GM. (1970) Clinical picture and therapy of alcoholic psychoses in later years. Zh Nevropatol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova 70:743–50.
- Farcas A. (2012) Alcoholic hallucinosis: report of two cases. Eur Psychiatry. 27:1. P25.
- George S, Chin CN. (1998) A 3 year case study of alcohol related psychotic disorders at Hospital Seremban. Med J Malaysia 53:223–6.

- Glass IB. (1989a) Alcoholic hallucinosis: a psychiatric enigma—1. The development of an idea. Addiction 84:29–41.
- Glass IB. (1989b) Alcoholic Hallucinosis: a psychiatric enigma—2. Follow-up studies. BJAddiction 84:151–64.
- Goyal P, Kataria L, Andrade C. (2016) Transcranial direct current stimulation for chronic continuous antipsychotic-refractory auditory hallucinations in alcoholic hallucinosis. *Brain Stimul Basic Transl Clin Res Neuromod* 9:159–60.
- Gruenberg KY. (1940) High frequency electric current in the treatment of alcoholic hallucinosis. *Am Rev Soviet Med* 1:544–52.
- Hyttinen R. (1987) Management of alcoholic psychosis in a psychiatric hospital. Nord Psykiatr Tidsskr 41:197–201.
- Imamov A. (1986) Patterns in the recurrence and transformation of alcoholic psychoses developing against a pathologic background (clinicostatistical study). Zh Nevropatol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova 87: 608-11.
- Joint Formulary Committee. (2015) British National Formulary 70. London: BMJ Group and Pharmaceutical Press.
- Jordaan GP, Emsley R. (2014) Alcohol-induced psychotic disorder: a review. Metab Brain Dis 29:231-43.
- Jordaan GP, Warwick JM, Nel DG, et al. (2012) Alcohol-induced psychotic disorder: brain perfusion and psychopathology—before and after antipsychotic treatment. Metab Brain Dis 27:67–77.
- Kabeš J, Skondia V, Marholdová K, et al. (1985) Piracetam effectivity in alcoholic psychosis: double blind cross-over placebo controlled comparison. Activitas Nervosa Superior 27:66–7.
- Kendler KS. (1985) A twin study of individuals with both schizophrenia and alcoholism. B J Psychiatry 147:48–53.
- Kraepelin E. (1913) Lectures on Clinical Psychiatry. London: Bailliere, Tindall and Cox, (translated T. Johnstone).
- Kumar S, Bankole A. (2010) A 40-year-old man with acute psychosis. *Psychiatr Ann* 40:600–3.
- Levitin LV, Ezarielev GI, Levitin AN. (1971) [Concerning questions of the epidemiology and structure of alcoholic psychoses.]. Zh Nevropatol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova 71:583–8.
- Lishman WA (ed). (1998) Organic Psychiatry: The Psychological Causes of Cerebral Disorder, 3rd edn. London: Blackwell Scientific.
- Moefes SM. (1970) On alcoholic paraphrenia. Zh Nevropatol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova 70:740–3.
- Morales-Belda FJ, de Haro de la Cruz T. (1968) [Clinical evaluation of the action of thiothixene (P-4657 B) in the treatment of alcoholic psychosis.]. Actas Luso-Espan Neurol Psiquiatr 27:537–43.
- Ogut DB, Suner O, Citak S. (2014) Alcoholic hallucinosis. Klin Psikofarmakol Bul 24:S194.

- Perälä J, Kuoppasalmi K, Pirkola S, et al. (2010) Alcohol-induced psychotic disorder and delirium in the general population. Br J Psychiatry 197: 200–6.
- Rehm J, Mathers C, Popova S, et al. (2009) Global burden of disease and injury and economic cost attributable to alcohol use and alcohol-use disorders. Lancet 373:2223–33.
- Rimmer J, Jacobsen B. (1977) Alcoholism in schizophrenics and their relatives. J Stud Alcohol 38:1781–4.
- Sampath G, Kumar YV, Channabasavanna SM, et al. (1980) Alcoholic hallucinosis and paranoid schizophrenia—a comparative (clinical and follow up) study. Indian J Psychiatry 22:338.
- Schuckit MA, Winokur G. (1971) Alcoholic hallucinosis and schizophrenia: a negative study. B J Psychiatry 119:549–50.
- Sluchevskii FI, Tikhomirov SM, Bakharev VD. (1986) Neuropeptides in the treatment of alcoholism and alcoholic psychoses. Zh Neuropatol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova 86:244–7.
- Soyka M, Helten B, Cleves M, et al. (2013) High rehospitalization rate in alcohol-induced psychotic disorder. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 263:309–13.
- Soyka M, Raith L, Steinberg R. (1988) Mean age, sex ratio and psychopathology in alcohol psychoses. *Psychopathology* 21:19–25.
- Soyka M, Wegner U, Moeller HJ. (1997) Risperidone in treatment-refractory chronic alcohol hallucinosis. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 30:135–6.
- Soyka M. (1990) Psychopathological characteristics in alcohol hallucinosis and paranoid schizophrenia. Acta Psychiatr Scand 81:255–9.
- Soyka M. (1994) Sucht und Schizophrenie-Nosologische, klinische und therapeutische Fragestellungen. Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr 62:71–87.
- Surawica FG. (1980) Alcoholic hallucinosis—a missed diagnosis: differential diagnosis and management. Can J Psychiatry 25:57–63.
- Szefel ZR. (1983) [Acute alcohol hallucinosis in women.]. Psychiatr Pol 17: 137–40.
- Vencovsky E, Kolomaznik M, Vyletal J, et al. (1985) Injectable clorazepate in alcoholic psychoses. Socijalna Psihijatr 13:31–5.
- Vicente MN, Ríos RB, Ochoa ME. (1990) Alcoholic hallucinosis: response to treatment. Arch Neurobiol 53:192–5.
- Victor M, Hope JM. (1958) The phenomenon of auditory hallucinations in chronic alcoholism: a critical evaluation of the status of alcoholic hallucinosis. J Nerv Ment Dis 126:451–81.
- Wendland K-L, Danzer G. (1987) [Situational and clinical findings in patients with hallucinosis during or after alcohol abuse.]. Schweiz Archiv Neurol Psychiatr 138:69–83.
- World Health Organization. (1992) The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization.